

Material Constraints

Experience shows that some materials resist certain types of deformation.

Example: water resists volume changes
but is otherwise highly deformable

We model this by placing a-priori constraints on the material

Def.: A continuum body is subject to a material or internal constraint if every motion φ must satisfy an equation of the form

$$\gamma(\underline{F}(\underline{X}, t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \underline{X} \in B, t \geq 0$$

Example: Incompressibility

$$\gamma(\underline{F}) = \det(\underline{F}) - 1$$

To obtain the Eulerian statement note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma} &= \frac{d}{dt} (\det(\underline{\underline{F}})) = \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) \operatorname{tr}(\underline{\underline{F}}^{-1} \dot{\underline{\underline{F}}}) = 0 \quad (\text{Lecture 5}) \\ &= \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) \operatorname{tr}(\nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{v}) = 0 \quad (\text{Lecture 15}) \\ &= \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) (\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot \underline{v})_m = 0 \quad t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\det(\underline{\underline{F}}) = 1 \Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla_{\underline{x}} \cdot \underline{v} = 0}$

Stress fields in constrained materials

Material constraints must be maintained by appropriate stresses. We make the following assumption.

Piola-Kirchhoff stress can be decomposed into

$$\boxed{\underline{\underline{P}}(\underline{x}, t) = \underline{\underline{P}}^r(\underline{x}, t) + \underline{\underline{P}}^a(\underline{x}, t)}$$

$\underline{\underline{P}}^a$ is active stress determined by constitutive eqn

$\underline{\underline{P}}^r$ is reactive stress associated with constraint

with zero stress power $\underline{\underline{P}}^r : \dot{\underline{\underline{F}}} = 0$

$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{P}}^r$ is orthogonal to $\dot{\underline{\underline{F}}}$ in standard inner product.

Because the constraint is constant in time

$$\dot{\gamma}(\underline{\underline{F}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, t)) = D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, t)) : \dot{\underline{\underline{F}}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) = 0 \quad (\text{lecture 5})$$

Where $D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}})$ denotes the derivative of γ at $\underline{\underline{F}}$.

All $\underline{\underline{q}}$ satisfying γ have the property that

$\dot{\underline{\underline{F}}}$ is orthogonal to $D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}})$

$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{P}}^r$ is parallel to $D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}})$

Thus the most general form for $\underline{\underline{P}}^r$ is

$$\underline{\underline{P}}^r(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) = q(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, t))$$

where $q(\underline{\underline{x}}, t)$ is a scalar multiplier. It is the unknown part of the reactive stress that enforces the constraint $\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, t)) = 0$.

For incompressible materials $\gamma = \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) - 1$

so that $D\gamma(\underline{\underline{F}}) = \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) \underline{\underline{F}}^{-T}$ (lecture 5)

and the reactive stress is

$$\underline{\underline{P}}^r(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) = q(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) \underline{\underline{F}}^{-T}$$

using $\underline{\underline{P}} = \det(\underline{\underline{F}}) \underline{\underline{\sigma}}_m \underline{\underline{F}}^{-T}$

$$\cancel{\det(\underline{\underline{F}})} \underline{\underline{\sigma}}_m^T \cancel{\underline{\underline{F}}^{-T}} = q(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) \cancel{\det(\underline{\underline{F}})} \cancel{\underline{\underline{F}}^{-T}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_m^T = q(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) \underline{\underline{I}}$$

⇒ reactive Cauchy stress is spherical: $\underline{\underline{\sigma}} = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}^r + \underline{\underline{\sigma}}^a$

Compare to spherical-deviatoric decomposition

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}} = -p \underline{\underline{I}} + \text{dev}(\underline{\underline{\sigma}})$$

by comparison we have

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}^r = -p(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) \underline{\underline{I}} \quad \text{where } p(\underline{\underline{x}}, t) = -q_s(\underline{\underline{x}}, t)$$

⇒ The pressure is the (Lagrange) multiplier that enforces the incompressibility constraint $\nabla_{\underline{\underline{x}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{v}} = 0$ on the motion.

Isothermal considerations

We will consider isothermal ($\theta(\underline{x}, t) = \theta_0$) models of fluids and solids at the end of the course.

⇒ the energy balance not relevant

but the entropy inequality still provides constraints on constitutive models!