

Mechanical Equilibrium

Consider a body at rest under the influence of a constant body force, $\rho \underline{b}$, and an external traction, \underline{t} . Note: \underline{b} is $\frac{\text{force}}{\text{mass}}$.

Necessary condition for eqbm

A body B is in mech. eqbm if the resultant force and torque (around arbitrary point) vanish for every subset Ω of B . That is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \underline{f}[\Omega] = \underline{f}_b[\Omega] + \underline{f}_s[\partial\Omega] &= \int_{\Omega} \rho \underline{b} dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{t} dA = 0 \\ \underline{t}[\Omega] = \underline{t}_b[\Omega] + \underline{t}_s[\partial\Omega] &= \int_{\Omega} \underline{x} \times \rho \underline{b} dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{x} \times \underline{t} dA = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for all } \Omega \subseteq B$$

If $\underline{f}[\Omega] = 0$ then $\underline{t}[\Omega]$ is independent of \underline{z} !

These conditions are intuitive but can also be derived from more general balance laws.

Local Mechanical Equilibrium Equations

If Cauchy stress field $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}$ is continuously differentiable and the density, ρ , and the body force, \underline{b} , are continuous, then the equilibrium conditions imply

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{x}) + \rho(\underline{x}) \underline{b}(\underline{x}) &= \underline{0} \\ \underline{\underline{\sigma}}^T(\underline{x}) &= \underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{x}) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for all } \underline{x} \in B$$

or in components

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij,j} + \rho b_i &= 0 \\ \sigma_{ij} &= \sigma_{ji} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for all } \underline{x} \in B$$

To establish this we substitute the definition of the Cauchy stress, $\underline{t} = \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{n}$, into the eqbm conditions

$$\underline{r}[\Omega] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{n} \, dA + \int_{\Omega} \rho \underline{b} \, dV = \underline{0} \quad \underline{n} = \text{outward normal}$$

using the Tensor Divergence Thm we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}} + \rho \underline{\underline{b}}) dV = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

by the arbitrariness of Ω the integrand must be zero so that

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}} + \rho \underline{\underline{b}} = \underline{\underline{0}} \quad \checkmark$$

To establish the symmetry of $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}$ we substitute $\underline{\underline{t}} = \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\underline{n}}$ into the resultant torque

$$\underline{\underline{\tau}}[\Omega] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{\underline{x}} \times (\underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\underline{n}}) dA + \int_{\Omega} \underline{\underline{x}} \times \rho \underline{\underline{b}} dV = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

substituting the previous result $\rho \underline{\underline{b}} = -\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{\underline{x}} \times (\underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\underline{n}}) dA - \int_{\Omega} \underline{\underline{x}} \times (\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}) dV = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

to simplify the l.h.s. we define $R_{il} = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_{kl}$
which allows us to write $\underline{\underline{R}} \underline{\underline{n}} = \underline{\underline{x}} \times (\underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\underline{n}})$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{\underline{R}}_n dA - \int_{\Omega} \underline{x} \times (\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}) dV = \underline{0}$$

Applying the Tensor Divergence Thm

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{R}} - \underline{x} \times (\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}) dV = 0$$

by the arbitrary nature of Ω we have

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{R}} - \underline{x} \times (\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\sigma}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \underline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$$

which becomes in components

$$(\epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_{kl})_{,l} - \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_{kl,l} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \underline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$$

using the chain rule

$$\epsilon_{ijk} x_{j,l} \sigma_{kl} + \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_{kl,l} - \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_{kl,l} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{ijk} x_{j,l} \sigma_{kl} = 0 \quad \text{with } x_{j,l} = \delta_{jl}$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \delta_{jl} \sigma_{kl} = \boxed{\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{kj} = 0}$$

If $\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{kj} = 0$ then $\epsilon_{ikj} \sigma_{jk} = 0$ because j & k are dummy indices. Hence

$$0 = \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{kj} + \epsilon_{ikj} \sigma_{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk} (\sigma_{kj} - \sigma_{jk}) = 0$$

We can always choose i to be distinct from j & k
so that $\epsilon_{ijk} \neq 0$ and hence we have

$$\sigma_{kj} = \sigma_{jk} \quad \checkmark$$