

## Lecture 2: Tensor algebra (shit hits the fan)

Logistics: - HW1 will be posted later today

due Sept 4 before class

- let me know ahead of time if you need to hand in late (Mon)

- late HW -10%

- Office hours:

Mon 2-3 pm

Wed 2-3 pm

(Tue 11-noon) - Afzal

→ zoom

Last time: • Reviewed vectors

- scalar product:  $\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = \alpha$

- vector product:  $\underline{a} \times \underline{b} = \underline{c}$

- basis for vector space:  $\{\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2, \underline{e}_3\}$

orthonormal right handed basis  $\rightarrow$  frame

• Index notation

$$\underline{a} = a_1 \underline{e}_1 + a_2 \underline{e}_2 + a_3 \underline{e}_3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \underline{e}_i = a_i \underline{e}_i$$

- twice repeated index  $\rightarrow$  Dummy index  
 $\rightarrow$  summation (Einstein notation)
- single indices  $\rightarrow$  free index  
 $\rightarrow$  group of equations
- Kronecker delta:  $\delta_{ij} = \underline{e}_i \cdot \underline{e}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i=j \\ 0 & \end{cases}$   
 $\rightarrow$  needed for scalar prod. in index not.
- Permutation symbol:

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = (\underline{e}_i \times \underline{e}_j) \cdot \underline{e}_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if even perm} \\ -1 & \text{if odd perm} \\ 0 & \text{repeated} \end{cases}$$

$\rightarrow$  needed for cross product

Today: • index notation

- Epsilon-Delta Identities

• Tensor algebra

- second-order tensors

- dyadic product

• Transpose, trace, scalar product

## Frame identities

Summarize the relations between basis vectors

$$\boxed{\underline{e}_j = \delta_{ij} \underline{e}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\underline{e}_i \times \underline{e}_j = \epsilon_{ijk} \underline{e}_k}$$

consequence of orthonormal frame.

## Epsilon - Delta identities

For any frame  $\{\underline{e}_i\}$

$$\epsilon_{pqs} \epsilon_{nrs} = \delta_{pn} \delta_{qr} - \delta_{pr} \delta_{qn}$$

$$\epsilon_{pqs} \epsilon_{rqs} = 2 \delta_{pr}$$

very useful in deriving all sorts of identities

Example:  $\underline{a} \times (\underline{b} \times \underline{c}) = (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) \underline{b} - (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{c} = \underline{d}$

$$\underline{a} = a_i \underline{e}_i \quad \underline{b} = b_i \underline{e}_i \quad \underline{c} = c_j \underline{e}_j \quad \underline{d} = d_p \underline{e}_p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{b} \times \underline{c} &= (b_i \underline{e}_i) \times (c_j \underline{e}_j) = b_i c_j \underbrace{\underline{e}_i \times \underline{e}_j}_{\epsilon_{ijk} \underline{e}_k} \\ &= \underbrace{\epsilon_{ijk} b_i c_j}_{f_k} \underline{e}_k = \underline{f} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{a} \times \underline{b} &= (a_q \underline{e}_q) \times (\epsilon_{ijk} b_i c_j \underline{e}_k) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} a_q b_i c_j \underbrace{\underline{e}_q \times \underline{e}_k}_{\epsilon_{qkp} \underline{e}_p} \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{qkp} a_q b_i c_j \underline{e}_p
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\epsilon_{qkp} = -\epsilon_{qpk} = \epsilon_{pqk})$$

$$= \boxed{\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{pqk} a_q b_i c_j \underline{e}_p = \underline{a} \times (\underline{b} \times \underline{c})}$$

index notation expression for  
 $\underline{a} \times (\underline{b} \times \underline{c})$

Apply  $\epsilon\delta$  identity

$$= (\delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} - \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp}) a_q b_i c_j \underline{e}_p$$

$$= \underline{\delta_{ip}} \delta_{jq} a_q b_i c_j \underline{e}_p - \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp} a_q b_i c_j \underline{e}_p$$

use transfer property of  $\delta$

$$= a_j b_i c_j \underline{e}_i - a_i b_i c_j \underline{e}_j$$

$$= (a_j c_j) b_i e_i - (a_i b_i) c_j e_j$$

$$(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) \underline{b} - (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{c}$$

$$\underline{a} \times (\underline{b} \times \underline{c}) = (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) \underline{b} - (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{c}$$

$$\text{HW 1: } (\underline{a} \times \underline{b}) \times \underline{c} = \dots$$

## Second-order tensors

Linear operator:  $\underline{v} = \underline{A} \underline{u}$

maps vector  $\underline{u} \in \mathcal{V}$  into vector  $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}$

linearity requires

$$1) \underline{A}(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) = \underline{A}\underline{u} + \underline{A}\underline{v} \quad \text{for all } \underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$2) \underline{A}(\alpha \underline{v}) = \alpha \underline{A}\underline{v} \quad \text{for all } \underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Example:  $\underline{A}$  maps every  $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}$  into  $\underline{u} \neq \underline{0} \in \mathcal{V}$

Is  $\underline{A}$  a tensor?

Consider  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w}$

$$\underline{w} = \underline{u} + \underline{v}$$

use first requirement:

$$\underline{\underline{A}}(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) = \underline{\underline{A}}\underline{u} + \underline{\underline{A}}\underline{v}$$

$$\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{w} = \underline{\underline{A}}\underline{u} + \underline{\underline{A}}\underline{v}$$

$$\underline{n} \neq \underline{n} + \underline{n}$$

$$\underline{n} \neq 2\underline{n}$$

$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{A}}$  is not a tensor

## Tensor algebra

1) scalar multiplication:  $(\alpha \underline{\underline{A}})\underline{v} = \underline{\underline{A}}(\alpha \underline{v})$

2) tensor sum:  $(\underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{B}})\underline{v} = \underline{\underline{A}}\underline{v} + \underline{\underline{B}}\underline{v}$

3) tensor product:  $(\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{B}})\underline{v} = \underline{\underline{A}}(\underline{\underline{B}}\underline{v})$

for all  $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}$

Note: tensor scalar product introduced later

The set of all 2<sup>nd</sup>-order tensors  $\mathcal{V}^2$  is a vector space, i.e., closed under

These 3 operations

$$1) \quad \alpha \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathcal{V}^2 \quad \text{for all } \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathcal{V}^2$$

$$2) \quad \underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{B}} \in \mathcal{V}^2 \quad \text{for all } \underline{\underline{A}}, \underline{\underline{B}} \in \mathcal{V}^2$$

$$3) \quad \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{B}} \in \mathcal{V}^2 \quad \text{" "}$$

Q: What is the basis for  $\mathcal{V}^2$ ?

Two tensors  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  &  $\underline{\underline{B}}$  are equal

$$\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{v}} = \underline{\underline{B}} \underline{\underline{v}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{\underline{v}} \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$\text{Zero tensor: } \underline{\underline{0}} \underline{\underline{v}} = \underline{\underline{0}} \quad \text{" "}$$

$$\text{Identity tensor: } \underline{\underline{I}} \underline{\underline{v}} = \underline{\underline{v}} \quad \text{" "}$$

Representation of tensor

In frame  $\{\underline{\underline{e}}_i\}$  a 2<sup>nd</sup>-order tensor  $\underline{\underline{S}}$

is represented by nine ~~total~~ components

$$S_{ij} = \underline{\underline{e}}_i \cdot \underline{\underline{S}} \underline{\underline{e}}_j$$

Matrix representation of  $\underline{S}$  in  $\{e_i\}$

$$[\underline{S}] = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\underline{S}]_{ij} = S_{ij}$$

Consider  $\underline{v} = \underline{S} \underline{u}$  in index notation

$$\underline{v} = v_k e_k \quad \underline{u} = u_j e_j$$

$$v_k e_k = \underline{S} (u_j e_j) = \underline{S} e_j u_j$$

$$v_k \underbrace{e_i \cdot e_k}_{\delta_{ik}} = \underbrace{e_i \cdot \underline{S} e_j}_{S_{ij}} u_j$$

$$v_i = S_{ij} u_j$$

$j = \text{dummy}$

$i = \text{free}$



## Dyadic Product

The dyadic product between two vectors  $\underline{a}$  and  $\underline{b}$  is the sec. order tensor  $\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}$  defined by

$$(\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}) \underline{v} = (\underline{b} \cdot \underline{v}) \underline{a} \quad \text{for all } \underline{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$

This has form  $\underline{A} \underline{v} = \alpha \underline{a}$

$$A_{ij} v_j = \alpha a_i$$

$$\alpha = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{v} = b_j v_j$$

$$A_{ij} = [\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}]_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}]_{ij} v_j = b_j v_j a_i \\ = a_i b_j v_j$$

$$\Rightarrow [\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}]_{ij} = a_i b_j$$

so that

$$[\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 & a_1 b_3 \\ a_2 b_1 & a_2 b_2 & a_2 b_3 \\ a_3 b_1 & a_3 b_2 & a_3 b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{a} \underline{b}^T$$

if every vector is column vector

$$\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} \rightarrow \underline{a}^T \cdot \underline{b} = \begin{matrix} [a_1 & a_2 & a_3] \\ 1 \times 3 \end{matrix} \cdot \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ 3 \times 1 \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} a_1 b_1 \\ + a_2 b_2 \\ + a_3 b_3 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\underline{a} \underline{b}^T = \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ 3 \times 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} [b_1 & b_2 & b_3] \\ 1 \times 3 \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ 3 \times 3 \end{matrix}$$

some naughty people  $\underline{a} \underline{b}^T = \underline{a} \underline{b}$

Linearity of dyadic product

$$\boxed{(\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b})(\alpha \underline{v} + \beta \underline{w}) = \alpha (\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}) \underline{v} + \beta (\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}) \underline{w}}$$

The product of two dyadic products

$$\boxed{(\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b})(\underline{c} \otimes \underline{d}) = (\underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}) \underline{a} \otimes \underline{d}} \quad \text{HW 2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  needed for tensor product in index notation

Basis for  $\mathcal{V}^2$  (vector space of 2<sup>nd</sup>-ord. tensors)

Given any frame  $\{\underline{e}_i\}$  the nine dyadic products  $\{\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j\}$  form a basis for

$\mathcal{V}^2$ . Any 2<sup>nd</sup>-order tensor  $\underline{\underline{S}}$  can be written as linear combination

$$\underline{\underline{S}} = S_{ij} \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j$$

$$= S_{11} \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1 + S_{12} \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2 + \dots$$

this is analogous  $\underline{v} = v_i \underline{e}_i$

$$\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ c & c & 0 \\ c & c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ c & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider  $\underline{v} = \underline{\underline{S}} \underline{u}$  with  $\underline{v} = v_i \underline{e}_i$   $\underline{u} = u_k \underline{e}_k$

$$v_i \underline{e}_i = (S_{ij} \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j) (u_k \underline{e}_k) \quad \underline{(a \otimes b) \cdot v} = (b \cdot v) \underline{a}$$

$$= S_{ij} u_k (\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j) \underline{e}_k$$

$$\underbrace{(\underline{e}_j \cdot \underline{e}_k)}_1 \underline{e}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= S_{ij} n_k \delta_{jk} e_i e_i \\
 \underline{v_i e_i} &= \underline{S_{ij} u_j} e_i & v_i &= S_{ij} u_j
 \end{aligned}$$